High Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion (HSIL), Atypical Squamous Cells, Cannot Exclude HSIL (ASC-H), and Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Christopher J. VandenBussche MD PhD Associate Professor of Pathology The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine SADLY, THE MOST IMPORTANT DIAGNOSIS IS OFTEN THE MOST DIFFICULT DIAGNOSIS

# HYPERCHROMATIC CROWDED GROUPS (HCG)







# HYPERCHROMATIC CROWDED GROUPS

#### • Differential Diagnosis:

- Squamous metaplasia
- Atrophy
- Benign endocervical
- Exfoliated endometrial
- Directly sampled endometrium
- Tubal metaplasia
- IUD cells
- AIS
- Follicular cervicitis

# HSIL Morph Criteria

- Generally smaller cells with high N/C ratio than LSIL
- Irregular nuclear contours with indentations/grooves
- Variable: nuclear size, chromasia, cytoplasm quality, chromatin (coarse or fine), cell size
- Some cytopathologists require atypical cells in fragments/sheets as well as present singly to make the diagnosis

## HSIL Morph Criteria

- My own observations:
  - Anisonucleosis that includes very small nuclei
  - Nuclear pleomorphism
  - Three dimensional fragments

#### HIGH GRADE SQUAMOUS INTRAEPITHELIAL LESION (HSIL)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

### HIGH GRADE SQUAMOUS INTRAEPITHELIAL LESION (HSIL)

#### **Differences compared to conventional smear:**

- Generally, smaller cell size
- More frequently single cells or smaller fragments
- Fewer number of abnormal cells
- Syncytial aggregates mimic glandular lesions
- Morphologic overlap with glandular lesions
- Accentuated irregular nuclear contours
- Fine-to-coarse nuclear chromatin vs. increased hyperchromasia
- More distinctive cytoplasmic borders

Diagnostic Cytopathology DOI 10.1002/dc

HODA ET AL.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## HSIL WITH LOW N/C RATIOS

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

### HSIL WITH A GLANDULAR APPEARANCE

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### "LITIGATION CELLS"

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ASC-H

- No significant difference in risk of HSIL when ASC-H made on CPS vs. LBC
- Poor reproducibility
- Few studies compare CPS vs. LBC morphologically
- May be small with nuclei 2-3 size of a neutrophil

Diagnostic Cytopathology DOI 10.1002/dc HODA ET AL.

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **KERATINIZING HSIL**

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

### SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA

#### Differences compared to conventional smear:

- Lower tumor cellularity
- Rounding up may result in a glandular appearance (R/O adenocarcinoma)
- Greater depth-of-focus
- "Clinging" tumor diathesis
- Tend to be less hyperchromatic
- Nucleoli tend to be more prominent

# KERATINIZING SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### NON-KERATINIZING SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)